The $\mathbf{A} - \varphi$ harmonic formulation in eddy current problems: *T*-coercivity, perturbed formulation and error estimations

Serge Nicaise

Université Polytechnique Hauts-de-France CÉRAMATHS/DMATHS

joint work with Patrick Ciarlet (ENSTA)







Fédération de Recherche Mathématique des Hauts-de-France

Serge Nicaise (DMATHS)

 $\mathbf{A} - \varphi$: *T*-coercivity

june, 17, 2025

1/16

Outline





- 3 Continuous and discrete perturbed formulations
- A posteriori error estimations

The eddy current problem

Find the electric field \boldsymbol{E} , the magnetic flux \boldsymbol{B} , the magnetic field \boldsymbol{H} and the eddy current \boldsymbol{J}_e such that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{E} &= -j\omega \boldsymbol{B}, \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{H} &= \boldsymbol{J}_s + \boldsymbol{J}_e, \text{ in } \Omega \\ \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{B} &= 0, \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

$$(1)$$

where Ω is bd simply connected with a connected bdy, J_s is the divergence free current density, $j^2 = -1$ and ω the pulsation, with the constitutive laws

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \mu \boldsymbol{H}$$
 in Ω and $\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = \sigma \boldsymbol{E}$ in $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{c}} \subset \subset \Omega$, (2)

where μ denotes the magnetic permeability and σ the electric conductivity. The boundary conditions are respectively

$$\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \text{ on } \boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \partial \Omega, \tag{3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \text{ on } \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{c}} = \partial \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \tag{4}$$

where **n** stands for the unit outward normal to Ω or Ω_c .

Serge Nicaise (DMATHS)

 $A - \varphi$: T-coercivity

june, 17, 2025

3/16

Motivation/Goals

An illustration of the geometry



æ



- Recall the standard variational formulation,
- Give its saddle point formulation,
- Deduce a perturbed formulation (use the explicit form of the coercivity operator *T*),
- Perform an a posteriori error analysis of the discrete perturbed formulation.

The harmonic $\mathbf{A} - \varphi$ formulation

Based on the introduction of a vector potential **A** in Ω and a scalar potential φ in Ω_c such that:

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A}$$
 in Ω and $\boldsymbol{E} = -j\omega \boldsymbol{A} - \nabla \varphi$ in Ω_c .

(1), (3), (4) \Rightarrow the harmonic **A** – φ formulation:

curl
$$(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}) + \sigma (j \omega \mathbf{A} + \nabla \varphi) = \mathbf{J}_s \text{ in } \Omega,$$

div $(\sigma (j \omega \mathbf{A} + \nabla \varphi)) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_c,$
 $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \text{ and } \sigma (j \omega \mathbf{A} + \nabla \varphi) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_c.$

The Coulomb gauge: div $\mathbf{A} = 0 \& \int_{\Omega_c} \varphi = 0 \Rightarrow$ uniqueness of these potentials.

Weak formulation

Set

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}_N(\mathcal{D}) &= \Big\{ \boldsymbol{F} \in \mathbf{H}_0(\mathrm{curl}, \mathcal{D}) : \mathrm{div}\, \boldsymbol{F} = \mathbf{0} \Big\}, \\ H^1_{zmv}(\mathcal{D}) &= \Big\{ f \in H^1(\mathcal{D}) : (f, 1)_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{0} \Big\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{a}((\boldsymbol{A},\varphi),(\boldsymbol{A}',\varphi')) &= \left(\mu^{-1}\operatorname{curl}\boldsymbol{A},\operatorname{curl}\boldsymbol{A}'\right)_{\Omega} \\ &+ j\omega^{-1}\left(\sigma(j\omega\boldsymbol{A}+\nabla\varphi),(j\omega\boldsymbol{A}'+\nabla\varphi')\right)_{\Omega_{c}}. \end{aligned}$$

Find $(\mathbf{A}, \varphi) \in \mathbf{K}_{N}(\Omega) \times H^{1}_{zmv}(\Omega_{c})$ such that

$$a((\boldsymbol{A},\varphi),(\boldsymbol{A}',\varphi')) = (\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{s}},\boldsymbol{A}')_{\Omega}, \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{A}',\varphi') \in \mathbf{K}_{N}(\Omega) \times H^{1}_{zmv}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{c}}).$$
(6)

Theorem 2.1 of [Creusé et al:12] ensures the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution (\mathbf{A}, φ) of this problem.

 E. Creusé, S. Nicaise, Z. Tang, Y. Le Menach, N. Nemitz, and F. Piriou.
 Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 22(5):1150028, 30, 2012.

Serge Nicaise (DMATHS)

 $\mathbf{A} - \varphi$: T-coercivity

The saddle point formulation

The Coulomb gauge div $\mathbf{A} = 0$ leads to some numerical difficulties, hence we transform the previous FV into a saddle point pb. For $\gamma > 0$ arbitrary, introduce the bd sesquilinear form on $\mathbb{V} := \mathbf{H}_0(\operatorname{curl}; \Omega) \times H_{zmv}^1(\Omega_c) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}((oldsymbol{v},arphi,oldsymbol{q}),(oldsymbol{v}',arphi',oldsymbol{q}))=a((oldsymbol{v},arphi),(oldsymbol{v}',arphi'))+\gamma(\overline{(oldsymbol{v}',
ablaoldsymbol{q})_{\Omega}}+(oldsymbol{v},
ablaoldsymbol{q}')_{\Omega}).$$

The saddle point pb: Find $(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}((\boldsymbol{A},\varphi,\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathcal{A}}),(\boldsymbol{v}',\varphi',\boldsymbol{q}'))=(\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathcal{S}},\boldsymbol{v}')_{\Omega},\quad\forall(\boldsymbol{v}',\varphi',\boldsymbol{q}')\in\mathbb{V}.$$
(7)

Lemma

 (\mathbf{A}, φ) solves (6) if and only if $(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, \mathbf{0})$ solves (7).

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

8/16

The saddle point formulation: well-posedness via the *T*-coercivity

For $(\mathbf{v}, \psi, q) \in \mathbb{V}$, we split $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{k} + \nabla \phi$, where $(\mathbf{k}, \phi) \in \mathbf{K}_N(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$, and define the operator

$$T : (\mathbf{k} + \nabla \phi, \psi, \mathbf{q}) \mapsto (\mathbf{k} + \nabla \mathbf{q}, \psi^*, \phi),$$

with $j\omega \mathbf{v} + \nabla \psi = j\omega(\mathbf{k} + \nabla q) + \nabla \psi^*$ so that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}((\boldsymbol{v}, \psi, \boldsymbol{q}), T(\boldsymbol{v}, \psi, \boldsymbol{q})) = (\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{k}, \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{k})_{\Omega} + \gamma \|\nabla \boldsymbol{q}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + j\omega^{-1} \|\sqrt{\sigma}(j\omega \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla \psi)\|_{\Omega_{c}}^{2}.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}((\mathbf{v}, \psi, q), T(\mathbf{v}, \psi, q))$ is coercive on \mathbb{V} . Further $T^2 = \mathbb{I}$ so T is an iso from \mathbb{V} into itself, hence (7) is well-posed.

P. Ciarlet Jr.

T-coercivity: A practical tool for the study of variational formulations in Hilbert spaces, book in preparation.

Serge Nicaise (DMATHS)

 $\mathbf{A} - \varphi$: *T*-coercivity

Equivalent formulation using the mapping T

Set $F(\mathbf{v}', \varphi', q') = (\mathbf{J}_s, \mathbf{v}')_{\Omega}$, then as T is an iso, $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}((\mathbf{A}, \varphi, p_A), (\mathbf{v}', \varphi', q')) = F(\mathbf{v}', \varphi', q'), \forall (\mathbf{v}', \varphi', q') \in \mathbb{V} \iff \mathcal{A}_{\gamma}((\mathbf{A}, \varphi, p_A), T(\mathbf{v}', \varphi', q')) = F(T(\mathbf{v}', \varphi', q')), \forall (\mathbf{v}', \varphi', q') \in \mathbb{V}.$

But div $\boldsymbol{J}_{s} = 0 \Rightarrow F(T(\boldsymbol{v}', \varphi', \boldsymbol{q}')) = (\boldsymbol{J}_{s}, \boldsymbol{v}')_{\Omega}, \forall (\boldsymbol{v}', \varphi', \boldsymbol{q}') \in \mathbb{V}.$ This yields the equivalent formulation of (7):

$a((\boldsymbol{A},\varphi),(\boldsymbol{v}',\varphi'))+\gamma(\nabla\phi_{\boldsymbol{A}},\nabla\phi')_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}=(\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathcal{S}},\boldsymbol{v}')_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}},$

for all $(\mathbf{v}', \varphi') \in \mathbf{H}_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \times \mathcal{H}^1_{Zmv}(\Omega_c)$, when $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{k}' + \nabla \phi'$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{k}_A + \nabla \phi_A$. Note that the solution is independent of γ , but the red term is problematic for numerical purposes. So we replace it by

$\gamma(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{v}')_{\Omega},$

which now yields a solution that depends on γ .

Perturbed formulation

Given an arbitrary positive real number γ , the perturbed formulation of (6) consists in looking for $(\mathbf{A}_{\gamma}, \varphi_{\gamma}) \in \mathbf{H}_{0}(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \times H^{1}_{zmv}(\Omega_{c})$ solution of

$$a_{\gamma}((\boldsymbol{A}_{\gamma},\varphi_{\gamma}),(\boldsymbol{A}',\varphi')) = (\boldsymbol{J}_{s},\boldsymbol{A}')_{\Omega}, \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{A}',\varphi') \in \boldsymbol{H}_{0}(\operatorname{curl},\Omega) \times \boldsymbol{H}^{1}(\Omega_{c}), \quad (8)$$

where

 $a_{\gamma}((\boldsymbol{A}, \varphi), (\boldsymbol{A}', \varphi')) = a((\boldsymbol{A}, \varphi), (\boldsymbol{A}', \varphi')) + \gamma(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{A}')_{\Omega}.$ As div $\boldsymbol{J}_{s} = 0$, by taking $\boldsymbol{A}' = \nabla \psi$, with $\psi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi' = -(j\omega)^{-1}\psi$ in (8), we obtain

div
$$\boldsymbol{A}_{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{0}$$
.

Note that

$$\| \boldsymbol{A}_{\gamma} - \boldsymbol{A} \|_{H_0(\operatorname{curl},\Omega)} + \| \varphi_{\gamma} - \varphi \|_{1,\Omega} \lesssim \gamma \| \boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{s}} \|_{\Omega}.$$

Since γ is assumed to be small, without loss of generality we can assume that $\gamma \leq 1$.

Discrete perturbed formulation

Assume given a finite dimensional space $\mathbf{X}_h \subset \mathbf{H}_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ and a finite dimensional space $V_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\nabla V_h \subset \mathbf{X}_h$$

We introduce the spaces

$$V_{c,h} = \{ \varphi \in H^1(\Omega_c) : \exists \psi_h \in V_h \text{ such that } \varphi = \psi_h \text{ on } \Omega_c \},$$
$$\tilde{V}_{c,h} = \{ \varphi \in V_{c,h} : \int_{\Omega_c} \varphi(x) \, dx = 0 \}.$$

The discrete approx. of (8): Find $(\mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h}, \varphi_{\gamma,h}) \in \mathbf{X}_h \times \tilde{V}_{c,h}$ s. t.

$$a_{\gamma}((\boldsymbol{A}_{\gamma,h},\varphi_{\gamma,h}),(\boldsymbol{A}_{h}',\varphi_{h}')) = (\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{s}},\boldsymbol{A}_{h}')_{\Omega}, \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{A}_{h}',\varphi_{h}') \in \boldsymbol{X}_{h} \times V_{\boldsymbol{c},h}.$$
(9)

Serge Nicaise (DMATHS)

The error estimator

The polyhedron $\overline{\Omega}$ is now assumed to be triangulated by a shape regular family of meshes $(\mathcal{T}_h)_h$, made of (closed) simplices \mathcal{T} that is conform with respect to Ω_c . Then we introduce the error estimator

$$\eta^{2} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \eta_{T}^{2}, \quad \eta_{T}^{2} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{4} \eta_{T;\ell}^{2} + \sum_{F \subset \partial T} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \eta_{F;\ell}^{2}, \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_{h},$$

$$\eta_{T;1} = h_{T} \| \pi_{h} J_{s} - \operatorname{curl}(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h}) - \gamma \mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h} - \sigma(j\omega \mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h} + \nabla \varphi_{\gamma,h}) \|_{T},$$

$$\eta_{T;2} = h_{T} \| J_{s} - \pi_{h} J_{s} \|_{T}, \quad \eta_{T;3} = h_{T} \| \operatorname{div}(\sigma(j\omega \mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h} + \nabla \varphi_{\gamma,h})) \|_{T},$$

$$\eta_{F;1} = h_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \left[\mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h} \times \mathbf{n}_{F} \right]_{F} \|_{F},$$

$$\eta_{F;2} = h_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \left[(\sigma(j\omega \mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h} + \nabla \varphi_{\gamma,h}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} \right]_{F} \|_{F},$$

$$\eta_{T;4} = \sqrt{\gamma} h_{T} \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h} \|_{T}, \quad \eta_{F;3} = \sqrt{\gamma} h_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \left[\mathbf{A}_{\gamma,h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} \right]_{F} \|_{F},$$
where **p** is an a fixed unit permetric vector to **F** and **L**.

where n_F = one fixed unit normal vector to F and $[\cdot]_F$ = jump of the quantity through F.

Serge Nicaise (DMATHS)

Reliability

For the reliability, we require the following (weak) assumption

 $\mathcal{ND}_0(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap \mathbf{H}_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{X}_h \text{ and } PP^1(\mathcal{T}_h) \subset V_h,$

where $\mathcal{ND}_0(\mathcal{T}_h)$ are the Nédélec FE space of lowest degree on \mathcal{T}_h and for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathsf{PP}^\ell(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : u_{|\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{P}^\ell(\mathcal{T}), orall \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}_h \}.$$

Under these assumptions, for any $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{A}' \in L^2(\Omega)$, their Clément type interpolant $I_{Cl}\varphi$ and $P_{Cl}\mathbf{A}'$ are well-defined and interpolation error estimates hold. These estimates combined with the Galerkin orthogonality yield

Theorem

Let
$$\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{A}} = \boldsymbol{A}_{\gamma} - \boldsymbol{A}_{\gamma,h}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi} = \varphi_{\gamma} - \varphi_{\gamma,h}$$
. Then

$$\|\mu^{-1/2}\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{A}}\|_{\Omega} + \sqrt{\gamma} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{A}}\|_{\Omega} + \|\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\omega}}(j\omega\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{A}} + \nabla\boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi})\|_{\Omega_{c}} \lesssim (1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{2}})\eta.$$

Efficiency

For the efficiency, we require the following assumptions that there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$\mathbf{X}_h \subset PP^{\ell}(\mathcal{T}_h)^3$$
 and $V_h \subset PP^{\ell}(\mathcal{T}_h)$,

and

$$\sigma, \mu \in PP^{\ell}(\mathcal{T}_h).$$

As usual, the local efficiency is based on the use of bubble fcts, inverse inequalities and integration by parts.

Theorem For all $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we have $\eta_T \lesssim \sqrt{\gamma} \| \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \|_{\omega_T} + \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\omega}} (j\omega \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{A}} + \nabla \boldsymbol{e}_{\varphi}) \|_{\omega_T} + \| \mu^{-1} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \|_{\omega_T} + \sum_{T' \subset \omega_T} \eta_{T';2}.$ Serve Nicelse (DMATHS) $\boldsymbol{A} - \omega; T$ -coercivity



æ